# ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NATIONAL REPORT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC



# COMPILED ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF THE CONVENTION ON NUCLEAR SAFETY

BRATISLAVA APRIL 2014

### **AUSTRIA**

Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Austria in 2013

Q.No 1	Country Austria	Article General	Ref. in National Report General
Question/ Comment	Did you already accomplish analysis of possible threats to your NPPs from extreme natural events taking into account the possible effects of climate change? Have there been changes in the design basis (e.g. design basis flood level) because of the increased frequency of extreme weather events?		
Answer	For purposes of developing the analysis and implementation of the reinforcement of systems, structures and components, a study on meteorological conditions with a frequency of 10 <sup>-4</sup> /year for the Bohunice and Mochovce sites in line with the IAEA and WANO was elaborated. A plan for the implementation of additional measures have been elaborated and their implementation is reviewed by ÚJD SR.		
Q.No 2	Country Austria	Article General	Ref. in National Report 1, p. 13
Question/ Comment	You mention that a new nuclear source project at Jaslovske Bohunice site is assessed. Could you give (or refer to) more details on the actual status of the project?		
Answer	Jadrová energetická spoločnosť Slovenska, a. s. (JESS) was founded at the end of December 2009 for the purpose of preparation, construction and operation of new NPP. The company's mission is to prepare new NPP project selecting the most proper variant, assure a construction phase and produce electricity and thermal energy in an economically, effective and safe manner. JESS Company was established on December 31, 2009 by incorporating in the Commercial Registry as a joint venture of two significant power companies of the Slovak Republic (Jadrová a vyraďovacia spoločnosť, a.s.) and the Czech Republic (ČEZ Group). For optimal approach of new NPP preparation and construction in Jaslovské Bohunice site, JESS Company has started the preparation work for the Feasibility Study elaboration. The beginning of the Feasibility Study's preparation dates back to the first half of 2010, when the potential suppliers of pressurized water reactors (Westinghouse, AREVA, Consortium MIR.1200, MITSUBISHI, ATMEA a KEPCO) were approached with a request to provide information about their latest projects. The required data necessary for the elaboration of the Feasibility Study were sent in the form of information packages at the end of 2010. The Czech company Ústav jaderného výzkumu Řež, a. s. started the elaboration of the Feasibility Study at the end of November 2011, and the Feasibility Study and the baseline		

	studies were submitt The final study conta technical alternative supplier system, proj analysis of Project crucial internal docu further decisions ab- realization of project In the light of the analyses can be co double-unit arranger feasible for Project under the assumption provided in the next p Based on the analys the shareholders of a preparatory stage of • Analysis of suita finance for all ph partner for the P • Environmental in of in March 2014 • Continuation in electrical grid, I accurate determ • Regional plann reflection NPP documentation co • New NPP project land acquiring fo	ed at the end of July 20 ains the analysis and e s, the assessment of ject management, finar new NPP. The Feasil ument, has become a out the optimal proced new NPP in Slovakia. Feasibility Study cor oncluded that the alter ment with a total capa New NPP in the loca on that the conditions a phase of the Project and sis results of the Feas JESS Company appro- the project in the follow able and available fina- nases of the project, in roject – currently in the mpact assessment pro- 4 and estimated completed subsequent project are ocality aspects – beir ination of the optimal p ing documentation up of all levels ct infrastructure develop or the plant is currently in nents for Site Permit –	<ul> <li>D12.</li> <li>valuations of the introduced the location suitability, the ncial security, and economic bility Study, the company's an indispensable basis for dure of the preparation and the existing matives of a single-unit or acity up to 2,400 MWe are tion of Jaslovské Bohunice and recommendations to be followed.</li> <li>ibility Study in August 2013 ved further action within the ving areas:</li> <li>ncing models to ensure the cluding finding the strategic process</li> <li>pcess (EIA) – the beginning etion in April 2016</li> <li>pas – the connection to the ng updated data and more ower variation NPP</li> <li>update - the process of in the draft planning</li> <li>pment – the process of the in the final stage currently in the process</li> </ul>
Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report
3	Austria	General	General
Question/ Comment	Is the safety significance of deviations from applicable current safety standards and internationally recognised good practice compiled for each nuclear installation? If so, in which intervals and are these compilations accessible to the general public?		
Answer	WENRA RHWG Gro	up prepared a Quantita	ative Reporting on Status of
	Harmonisation of Sa	afety of Existing React	ors. Full harmonization has
	been achieved in a r	number of countries ind	cluding Slovakia. This report
	is available on th	we WENRA web site	e a restricted document.
	Compilation of the sa	afety significance of the	e deviations from applicable
	current safety stand	ards and internationall	y recognised good practice
	is performed as a	part of PSR. The P	'SR of nuclear facilities is

	performed in co accommodates WE performed every 10 time schedule of im ÚJD SR de <u>http://www.ujd.gov.s</u> WENRA RL 2008 w and EMO1,2 periodi	mpliance with the NRA reference levels ) years. Measures ban plementation are se ecisions are p sk/amis/dbrozhod.nsf/ was one of the bench ic safety reviews.	e legal framework which and IAEA standards. PSR is ased on PSR results with the t in the ÚJD SR decision. All publicly available (see <u>pageRozhodnutia2013</u> ). marks in performing the EBO
Q.No 4	Country Austria	Article General	Ref. in National Report General
Question/ Comment	Is there any obliga general public or st on issues related to	ition for the licence akeholders in the vic nuclear safety?	holders to inform/consult the inity of a nuclear installations
Answer	Licence holders (au Act, inform the publ available means alw nuclear installations spent fuel for the pre In addition the lice incident, accident, n need to be carried o	uthorisation holder) s lic, through its web s vays by 30 April, on t and on the manager eceding calendar yea ensee is obliged to measures to protect h out in case of such inc	should, based on the Atomic ite, press or by other publicly the status of nuclear safety of ment of radioactive waste and r. inform the public about any health and on activities, which ident or accident.
Q.No 5	Country Austria	Article General	Ref. in National Report General
Question/	To which extent do	pes the Regulatory I	Body currently publish safety
	relevant licenses, de modify current pract Is the general publi Regulatory Body re modify current pract	ecisions, assessment ice? ic currently involved elevant to nuclear sa ice?	in the decision making of the affety? Are there intentions to

	Public/stakeholders are closely involved in the decision-making processes also under other laws and in particular under the Act No.24/2006 Coll. on the environmental impact assessment and Act No. 50/1976 Coll. on Spatial Planning and Construction Order (Construction Act). Act on EIA transposes the EU directive on the EIA procedure as well as the Aarhus Convention in relation to the participation in decision-making process. At present an amendment to the EIA Act is under preparation, in which the rights of public in participation process is strengthened.		
Q.No 8	Country Austria	Article Article 6	Ref. in National Report 2.2, p. 22
Question/ Comment	You report a list of corrective actions of the periodic safety review of Bohunice NPP in 2008. Some of the corrective actions have a deadline which is in the past. Could you report on the status of these actions? Could they already be implemented? Could you give more details on the corrective actions from a.), Accident Management?		
Answer	Almost all of 105 the PSR corrective actions was implemented within deadlines, except one measure regarding analysis of BDB accidents arisen by external and internal event combination. Within the stress-tests an analysis of safety margins during extreme external events followed by internal events as long-term blackout, loss of ultimate heat sink, was developed. Results from this analysis including corrective actions were adopted within the National Action Plan after Fukushima Accident. Some of the measures have been already implemented, e.g. provision of mobile high pressure pump for steam generator water supply, mobile DG 0,4kV. The final Report will be submitted to ÚJD SR in 2014. All corrective actions resulting from PSR were implemented in compliance with terms set up in the final report of PSR V2. Complementary actions related to lessons learned from Fukushima and part of the Action Plan are under implementation. Licence holder has to report regularly on the status of implementation which is subject of ÚJD SR inspections.		
Q.No 9	Country Austria	Article Article 6	Ref. in National Report 2.3, p. 25
Question/ Comment	You report a list of corrective actions of the periodic safety review of Mochovce NPP in 2011. Some of the corrective actions have a deadline which is in the past, or have 31st of December 2013 as deadline. Could you report on the status of these actions? Could they already be implemented?		
Answer	All corrective actio	ns resulting from P	SR were implemented in

	compliance with ter Mochovce. Compler Fukushima and par Licence holder has which is subject of Ú All corrective action implemented and re was sent to ÚJD S deadlines.	rms set up in the fin mentary actions related to report regularly on t UD SR inspections. Is (base of date requi- ported to the regulato SR on 15.12.2013. A	nal report of PSR of NPP ed to lessons learned from are under implementation. the status of implementation ested implementation) were r - ÚJD SR. The last report Il measures are within the
Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report
10	Austria	Article 6	2.5, p29-30
Question/ Comment	You mentioned that interim spent fuel s Storage Facility (M Could you report if o been identified durin	you performed an imstorage facility MSVP SVP) to Events of f corrective actions or d g the evaluation, and it	provement program for the (Response of the Interim Fukushima Type Program). esirable improvements have f so, which?
Answer	The project on "Res	sponse of the Interim	Spent Fuel Storage Facility
	(MSVP) to Events o	f Fukushima Type" ide	entified a requirement for the
	development of the	chapter "Seismic ever	at" to the work instruction for
	the abnormal opera	tion. Yet in the course	e of this project the chapter
	"Seismic event" was	s updated and subse	quently affected employees
	were informed. No o	ther desirable improve	ments have been identified.
Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report
16	Austria	Article 11.1	4.2, p. 58
Question/ Comment	You mention that nuclear decommiss licensees for operati Since the fund on o you provide details carry the cost of dec	Act No 238/2006 Col sioning fund, which on of nuclear installation decommissioning was if resources of the fu ommissioning of NPP	II. I. establishes a national basic source comes from ons. established in 2006, could ind are already sufficient to EBO V1?
Answer	According to the A	ct No. 238/2006 Coll	. as amended, there is an
	equivalent source of	the National Nuclear F	Fund (NNF) in addition to the
	contributions of ho	olders of the license	for operation of nuclear
	installation. This so	urce is called transfe	r from the expense budget
	account of the Mini	istry of Economy of S	SR (ME SR) in form of the
	payment collected I	by the operator of th	e transmission system and
	operators of regiona	I distribution systems.	This payment is determined
	for reimbursement	of the debt occurrect	I at formation of the NNF
	resources determine	ed for the coverage of c	costs of the final stage of the
	nuclear power eng	ineering (so called h	historical deficit of financial

	payment are stated to Coll. amended. Pay financial resources years. The sum of pa	by the Government reg yment for the covera is decomposed within hyment amounts to cca	ulation of SR No. 426/2010 age of historical deficit of the time interval cca 35 70 mil. Euro per year.	
	The payment equals to the product of an effective rate of the payment for the coverage of debt for the corresponding year and quantity of the electricity delivered to electricity end consumers. The effective rate according to § 2 Government regulation of SR No. 426/2010 Coll. is increased yearly for a coefficient of nuclear inflation to the date of July 1, for the previous year stated by the Statistical Office of SR.			
	According to § 2 sec 426/2010 Coll. this pa	ction 13 of the Goverr ayment collected is spe	nment regulation of SR No. ent for the coverage of:	
	<ul> <li>costs connect</li> <li>1, including c</li> <li>resulting there</li> </ul>	ed to decommissioning costs for treatment of of,	g of nuclear power plant A- radioactive wastes (RAW)	
	<ul> <li>partial costs f which consist decommission decommission 238/2006 Coll thereof,</li> </ul>	or decommissioning of s of a difference of c ling and levied f ling to the date of l., including costs for t	of nuclear power plant V-1, omprehensive costs for its inancial means for its efficiency of the Act No. treatment of RAW resulting	
	<ul> <li>costs for treat NPP V-1.</li> </ul>	ment of spent nuclear	fuel (SNF) generated from	
	Combination of finan of operators of nu historical deficit of f from Bohunice inter concerning NPP V agreement between Reconstruction and means for financing o	cial resources of NNF clear facilities and p inancial resources tog national decommission 7-1, established acco the Government of SR Development, will p of costs for decommiss	generated by contributions bayments for coverage of lether with financial means ning support fund (BIDSF) ording to the Framework and the European Bank for provide sufficient financial ioning of NPP V-1.	
Q.No 17	Country Austria	Article Article 11.2	Ref. in National Report 3.1.3, p42 and 49	
Question/ Comment	You mentioned that 98 employees are working at the regulatory authority – could you specify how many of them are working as inspectors in the field?			
Answer	ÚJD SR has 62 inspe	ectors.		
Q.No 18	Country Austria	Article Article 11.2	Ref. in National Report 4.2, p. 61	
Question/ Comment	You give a detailed overview on the training program of personnel – at the regulatory authority. There have been reports that in Europe there is a shortfall of graduates in technical disciplines, and especially in nuclear engineering.			

	Do you face these problems as well, and if so, can you compensate by your internal training program?		
Answer	The shortfall of graduates in technical disciplines is a general issue in Slovakia and in the EU as well. In recent years some positive development (stabilisation of decrease) in Slovakia could be observed. This includes also nuclear engineering and material sciences. This interest in nuclear engineering could be assigned to the continued development of nuclear energy.		
Q.No 22	Country Austria	Article Article 13	Ref. in National Report 4.4, p. 72
Question/ Comment	You mentioned that operation of nucle management system (from year 2006). Could you please pl and working in the fra	the quality managem ar installations is approach as describ rovide details on the a ame of an integrated m	ent system of licensees of based on an integrated bed in IAEA No. GS-G-3.1 experience with introducing anagement system?
Answer	The integrated mana compliance with requ - ISO standards (ISO - IAEA regulations G - national legislation, Basic roles involved identified, documents and issued, relevant - Integrated Policy focus on nuclear saf resources), - Main Goals for resp - IMS Management re - Management repres - IMS Manuals, - Directives for ke evaluation, KPIs Records control, Preventive action, o - IMS Audits and extect the content and expectation of op added value, - etc. The basic principle i process approach. applications, which documentation. Processes are identi structure and hierarc are highlighted.	agement system (IMS) inrements and recommon 9001, ISO14001, OHS S-R-3, GS-G-3.1 etc. in the IMS on the leas for cross-functional precords are provided: (covers quality, environ feetive year, eview for respective yeas entative for IMS, ey managerial proce monitoring and meas Audits, Non-conform etc.), ernal audits of suppliers timing point of vie eration (mainly nuclean n the process manage Process approach in represent an integrate fied (including external hy; processes importar	) is built and maintained in endation of: EAS18001) evel of whole company are processes are consolidated onment, safety with strong ection, security and human ar, ar, sses (IMS planning and buring, Documentation and ities and Corrective and s are fully consolidated from w respecting needs and ar) and providing relevant ement within SE, plc. is the s supported by software ed system of IMS process al processes) in pre-defined ht from nuclear point of view

	The Map of top processes is a part of IMS Manual approved by the national regulatory authority – ÚJD SR. Tools like questionnaires and customers' surveys are used for gathering of feedback and opportunities for improvement, utilized by process owners to define action plans and necessary measures for improvement of process effectiveness and efficiency. IMS is certified by the independent accredited certification body since 2010. Integration on the level of 95% and focus on continuous improvement activities (including the ongoing and finish projects) were underlined during the recertification and stated in final audit report.
Q.No 23	CountryArticleRef. in National ReportAustriaArticle 134.4, p. 74
Question/ Comment	You mentioned that licensees are required to ensure that quality programs of their suppliers meet their requirements. Could you provide details on the experience of the regulatory authority in verifying the chain of quality control?
Answer	<ul> <li>ÚJD SR executes the supervision of licensees' management system.</li> <li>Types of inspections carried out by ÚJD SR in QA area: <ul> <li>fulfilment of requirements specified in Licensee's Quality manual (or Integrated management system manual),</li> <li>fulfilment of process requirements specified in Quality assurance programmes for the specific nuclear facility, and</li> <li>fulfilment of requirements specified in ÚJD SR regulations for Quality management system of licensees (including quality assurance audits performed by the licensee at its suppliers).</li> </ul> </li> <li>The licensee is responsible for contractors' activities and services. The licensee may require for examination of contractors' capability, for example efficient quality management system of the contractor's organization.</li> <li>A surveillance of work which is carried out by contractors / suppliers: <ul> <li>Quality plans have to be elaborated for all classified equipment (i.e. related to nuclear safety) and for all changes and modifications of classified equipment. The quality plans provide for following the legal requirements of quality assurance. The quality plans are validated by the licensee and approved by ÚJD SR. Decree No. 431/2011 Coll. lays down detailed requirements for all aforementioned documents and details on the scope of their approval.</li> <li>Quality assurance audits performed by the licensee at contractors' facilities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Q.No 24	Country Article Ref. in National Report Austria Article 14.1 4.5, p. 89
Question/	In your list of actions and recommendations following the stress tests

Comment Answer	you mention that "requiring remote control of selected equipment" is relevant for Mochovce NPP, but not relevant for Bohunice NPP. Could you explain the rationale for this recommendation? "Not relevant" means already implemented (new bunkered emergency center at the Bohunice site already in operation)		
Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report
Question/ Comment	AustriaArticle 14.24.2, p. 62You mentioned that SAM currently assumes single unit accidents, and that you are evaluating to extend SAMG to cope with multiunit events as well.Could you provide more details, and an estimate by when you intend to extend the scope of SAM?		
Answer	The SAM modification implementation project started in 2009 and was finalized in Bohunice EBO in 2013 and the Mochovce 12 project will be finalized in 2015. The extension of the SAM to multiunit events is a part of the National Action Plan (action No.: 52).		
Q.No 34	Country Austria	Article Article 14.2	Ref. in National Report 4.5, p. 76-77
Question/ Comment	You reported that I PSA level 1 and 2, f operation. Do you intend to p provide details on th	Mochovce and Bohuni for internal and external erform a level 3 PSA he schedule?	ce NPP already completed l events, including shutdown as well, and if so, can you
Answer	The national Decr No.31/2012 Coll. sti PSA level 2. PSA le their TSO have p evaluated their resu	ree No.58/2006 Coll. pulate the scope and devel 3 is not legally bind erformed some elements.	as amended by Decree contents of PSA level 1 and ing. However, the utility and ents of PSA level 3 and
Q.No 35	Country Austria	Article Article 14.2	Ref. in National Report 4.5, p94
Question/ Comment	You mention that t period without opera tests – has alrea Bohunice NPP. Can you provide def	he recommendation to ator action in case of SI dy been implemented tails on the improvemer	o ensure at least one hour BO/UHS following the stress I in both, Mochovce and hts?
Answer	Results of analyses design has sufficien circuit to be able to r sufficient volume of time period externa	and stress test conclu- t amount of water in ster meet the requirements. feed-water to heat rer I high pressure mobile	usions are that WWER 440 eam generators and primary Steam generators contain a noval for 5 hours. After this e source of feed-water (fire

	brigade trucks) are available at the NPP with stable emergency feed water tanks (72 hours heat removal). Primary circuit made available primary coolant (boric acid) for next 7 hours to heat removal from the core. More information is available at <u>http://www.ujd.gov.sk/files/NS_Zatazove_testy_JE_SR_angl.pdf</u>		
Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report
36	Austria	Article 14.2	4.5, p. 82-100
Question/ Comment	Many actions or rec listed show as deadli Could you provide d be kept?	ommendations following the 2013-12-31. etails on those actions	ng the stress tests that you s, if the time schedule could
Answer	Tasks are completed plant is continuously assessed by inspect were performed in reinforcement of SSC a plan for the imp elaborated. The tir measures is discuss	I keeping the time sche v evaluating these tas ctions performed by Ú December 2013 and C in the case of extrem lementation of addition ne schedule for the ed with ÚJD SR.	edule in the Action Plan. The ks which are reviewed and JJD SR. Latest inspections January 2014.As regards ne meteorological conditions onal measures have been implementation of these
Q.No 47	Country Austria	Article Article 19.7	Ref. in National Report 19. p. 144
Question/ Comment	As you report, ope analyze outside even of other plants. Fig outside events, and strongly increased in Could you provide m	erators take advanta nts derive corrective a ure 5.3.5.3 shows tha the corrective actio the last six years. ore details on this tren	ge of outside experience, ctions based on experience at the number of analyzed ns due to outside events, d?
Answer	Could you provide more details on this trend? In the past the area of industry OE was managed on plant level independently inside the company. Six years ago the company started with a centralization of this process. This stage was finished by the creation of a centralized OE group three years ago. It contains three plant OE coordinators, one for corporate units and a team leader. The group also manages OE process methodology. The process is designed based on INPO OE guidelines. Most of OE coordinators have operational experience. That is an important aspect for screening quality. The OE process is connected to Corrective Action Program which has been redesigned as well. Knowledge and experience have become an important company's values, expressed in Values Model, and promoted by managers at all levels of organization. The trend is a result of changes at process level, as well as managed changes of the whole company. The OE process is generally accepted as an important proactive and preventive opportunity. It makes wider involvement of plant and corporate departments in industry event		

evaluation. It generates increased ability to analyse more external
events as well as to increase quality of analysis by producing more
preventive actions.

### **BULGARIA**

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Bulgaria in 2013

Q.No 12	Country Bulgaria	Article Article 8.1	Ref. in National Report page 42	
Question/ Comment	As at 1 May 2013 ÚJD SR er civil servants and 17 employe What type of work (functions employees in public interest?	nployed 98 em es are perform and obligations	ployees, of whom 81 were ning work in public interest. s) are performing those 17	
Answer	These employees are r administrative work and servi pay clerk, driver, receiver, en goods, services and work).	These employees are mainly "supporting" staff performing administrative work and service activities (e.g. secretaries, accountant, pay clerk, driver, receiver, employee performing public procurement of goods, services and work).		
Q.No 15	Country Bulgaria	Article Article 8.2	Ref. in National Report p. 41	
Question/ Comment	The main regulatory authorition operating license are illustrate for construction, commission this figure there is a box "Pub What are the interfaces with licensing process?	es and the lice ed in Figure 3 ing, operation lic". the public in t	nsing procedure in issuing 1.3.1 Licensing procedure and decommissioning. In he separate stages of the	
Answer	The amendment No. 145/201 environmental impact assess to certain laws as amende individuals and legal persor environmental decision-makin person older than 18 years, whis/her interest in the decision procedure has a position of a further modifies the term proceeding, participation in the circle of people. Civil initiative takes a position of a party regulation provided the statute The competent authority for transboundary effects is the Republic.	0 Coll. I. to the ment and on o d extended th is having inter- ing. In case of who files a write on making and party to the pro- civil initiative, to the procedure ar a, as well as civ- to the procedure ar environmental Ministry of E	Act No. 24/2006 Coll. I. on changes and amendments ne concerned public with rest in the procedures of an individual it must be a tten position, which shows I in the following licensing rocedure. This amendment as well as the way of nd electing a trustee of this ril association and an NGO dure according to special are met. impacts assessment with nvironment of the Slovak	
Q.No 19	Country Bulgaria	Article Article 12	Ref. in National Report p. 67	
Question/ Comment	Managing staff are examples requirements and expectation When working they apply prevention of human errors	models in com is for the huma observation	pliance with the standards, n factor reliability program. of using instruments for	

	What instruments for prevention of human errors are defined at the plant?
Answer	We have defined error prevention tools - 7 basic tools and 6 supplementary tools. Basic error prevention tools - job-site review, questioning attitude, self- checking, procedure use and adherence, three-way communication, phonetic alphabet, pre-job briefing. Supplementary error prevention tools - peer checking, independent verification, place keeping, turnover, flagging, post-job review. Managers at all levels observe how employees use error prevention tools within managerial observation and coaching program.

### **CZECH REPUBLIC**

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted By Czech Republic To Slovakia in 2013

Q.No 42	Country Czech Republic	Article Article 16.1	Ref. in National Report Section 4.7.4,/p. 115
Question/ Comment	Who specifically is responsible for recommending protective measures - iodine prophylaxis.		
Answer	Responsibility for recommendation of protective measures is on ÚJD SR and also on Ministry of Health and its Public Health Authority. The Ministry of Interior should act based on these recommendations and order protective measures including lodine profilaxis.		

### FRANCE

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By France in 2013

Q.No 6	Country France	Article General	Ref. in National Report All
Question/ Comment	The establishment of a fire brigade on NPPs, distinct from the local fire brigade and specialized in radioactive hazards should be considered as a good practise.		
Answer	The commen	t is well taken.	
Q.No 11	Country France	Article Article 7.1	Ref. in National Report p. 39
Question/ Comment	The amendm Directive cor contributions increased the operating lice sentence und	ent to the Atomic Ac ntained, inter alia, payable for the S e limits for liability fo ences (unlimited)". Co lerlined?	t which aimed at transposing the Waste provisions "related to the amount of State regulation by the licensee and or nuclear damage and changes in the buld Slovakia clarify the meaning of this
Answer	The Act No. 143/2013 Coll. amending the 2004 Atomic Act not only transposed the Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the RAW and SNF Management, but also, inter alia, increased the amount of contributions that are paid by the licensees for the licence on the yearly basis. Such contributions were introduced by the Act No. 94/2007 Coll. since January 1st, 2008 (amending the 2004 Atomic Act). By this law, the obligation of the licensee to pay an annual contribution for execution of state supervision upon nuclear safety was introduced to the Slovak lega system. The amount of the annual contribution of the licensee depends or the type of nuclear facility as well as on the type of issued licence. These contributions are revenue of the state budget and they are provided directly to the NRA (into its budgetary chapter). As of 1 January 2014, the Amendment No. 143/2013 Coll. also increased the nuclear liability limits of the licensee for the nuclear damages arising from the nuclear incidents. The increased limits of the liability for nuclear damages are set as follows: a) as for a nuclear installations with the nuclear reactor or nuclear reactors for the energy purposes, during their commissioning and operation, <b>awake to 300.000.000 Euros</b> (which is 4-times higher than before the 1 January 2014),		
	b) and for c operation, sl installation in is 3,7- times l	other nuclear installa hipments of the ra the decommissioning higher than before the	ations during their commissioning and adioactive materials, and, all nuclear g stage, up to 185.000.000 Euros (which e 1 January 2014).
	Moreover, the for operation	e Act 143/2013 laid of nuclear installation	down provision concerning the licences ons with the time restriction which were

	issued prior to the 1 August 2013, and, which have to expire after 1 August 2013. Such licences are considered to be the licences for operation of nuclear installation <b>without any time limitation</b> . It means that licence for operation, no matter if it was issued prior to 1 August 2013 or later, they are not limited by time restrictions.			
Q.No 25	Country France	Article Article 14.1	Ref. in National Report § 4.5.3 - p. 78 to 100	
Question/ Comment	Slovakia states that actions resulting from the stress tests are included under an action plan. This action plan is described in pages 82 to 100, but also includes other modifications. Could Slovakia specify which actions in the action plan specifically result from the stress tests and which from the lessons learned from Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident?			
Answer	For tasks res which are in and evaluate not possible l and overlapp accident man not originate	sulting from the tes Action Plan, were d by inspections pe because many of th bing with the actio agement).Some of from any previous e	ts and lessons learned integrated tasks, developed. Their fulfilment is monitored rformed by ÚJD SR .A clear distinction is e listed actions started before Fukushima ns from the Stress Tests (e.g. severe the actions like No.: 55 – large fire – does vent/activity and is a new initiative.	
Q.No 26	Country France	Article Article 14.1	Ref. in National Report § 4.5.3 – p. 82 to 100	
Question/ Comment	The post-Fukushima action plan presented by the Slovakia contains a lot of technical modifications and mentions also some issues related to organization. Could Slovakia give more information on how safety culture and human and organizational factor issues are taken into account in this action plan, including operator training, cumulative impact on human performance of a set of post-Fukushima modifications, support of contractors for implementing actions, sufficiency of well-trained people still available on a degraded site (including availability of contractors), the correct functioning of national organizations and emergency preparedness (degree of realism of exercises and drills) and response?			
Answer	The question is rather complex. Therefor a summary of main action are described:			
	described: Emergency preparedness exercises and drills are performed within realistic scenarios including operating shift personnel in the simulator and reporting to the authorities (ÚJD SR, Ministry of Interior, Civil Defence Department, etc.). The development of the accident scenario is written with time skips between accident milestones in comparison with a real accident development.			
	In 2011 NPPs of SE, plc, implemented "Human Performance Program". In the sense of this program all employees of NPP are yearly trained (or retrained) in tools for the prevention of human errors. The program			

	introduced also observation of using tools for the prevention of human errors at performing any work in the plant. Observations are performed by managers every day according to an approved schedule. Also managers of supply companies participated in the shortened version of similar training in using tools for the prevention of human errors.		
Q.No 27	Country Arti France Arti	icle icle 14.1	Ref. in National Report § 4.5.3 – p. 99
Question/ Comment	Regarding severe accident management measures, Slovakia defines measures to ensure containment integrity, filtration strategies and hydrogen management for the containment. Could Slovakia specify if level 2 PSAs are used to estimate the impact of these measures and to identify additional accident management measures?		
Answer	Various measures has been defined, developed and implemented to ensure containment integrity. Besides other analyses, also PSA Level 2 studies were used to identify events and/or phenomena contributing to the risk of losing containment integrity and to identify areas, where application of some measures can increase probability that in case of severe accident the containment remains intact. PSA level 2 was also used to estimate contribution of the proposed measures to accident management. The results of level 2 PSA confirmed that by application of these measures the probability of preserving the intact containment will increase significantly and thus, the uncontrolled release of the radioactive material to the environment will be prevented. Filtration strategy does not belong to the identified and/or proposed measures for long-term heat removal will be analysed by the end of 2015.		
Q.No 43	Country Arti France Arti	icle icle 16 1	Ref. in National Report § 4 7 – p. 107 á 123
Question/ Comment	No mention is made about the impact of the lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident to the Slovakian emergency preparedness system in place. Did Slovakia made a review of its emergency preparedness arrangements in the light of this event and found any improvement to be implemented?		
Answer	The impact and lessons learnt from the Fukushima accident on the of site emergency preparedness system is described on page 16 (Summary information) and in more detail on pages 116 – 117 (Chapter 4.7.6). As regards on site emergency preparedness the operator has completed a new bunkered emergency response center at the Bohunice site in 2012 and completed the reconstruction of the existing emergency response center at the Mochovce site in 2013. These activities has been initiated before Fukushima and just confirming the correctness of decisions on this matter made in the past. Attached is a picture of the new ERC at Bohunice site.		

			<image/>
Q.No 44	Country France	Article Article 17.1	Ref. in National Report § 4.5.3 – p. 80
Question/ Comment	In accordance with ENSREG recommendations for external flooding and seismic events, Slovakia should base its measures on a very low probability of occurrence (less than 1.10-4/year). Could Slovakia give details of the additional measures taken into account to cope with these hazards?		
Answer	For purposes of developing the analysis and implementation of the reinforcement of systems, structures and components, a study on meteorological conditions with a frequency of 10 <sup>-4</sup> /year for the Bohunice and Mochovce sites in line with the IAEA and WANO was elaborated. A plan for the implementation of additional measures have been		

	elaborated and their implementation is reviewed by ÚJD SR.		
Q.No 45	Country France	Article Article 17.3	Ref. in National Report § 5.1 – p. 127 to 130
Question/ Comment	At the same time as it implements additional measures after the Fukushima Daiichi NPP accident, does Slovakia plan to change its siting recommendations in terms of design and construction?		
Answer	ÚJD SR conti for siting in cc	nuously updates safe ompliance with IAEA s	ty requirements, including requirements standards.
Q.No 46	Country France	Article Article 19.4	Ref. in National Report § 5.3.3.5 - p. 137
Question/ Comment	Concerning the implementation of the SAMG, could Slovakia specify the training plan: days of training, personnel involved and feedback from the training?		
Answer	Training courses that have been performed already include various topics from Severe Accidents Management. Total of 369 personnel from Bohunice site and 505 personnel from Mochovce 34 site were trained along with lecturers and instructors. As follow-up, Bohunice and also Mochovce operator will update their existing SAM training materials till the end of 2014.		

### GERMANY

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Germany in 2013

Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report
7	Germany	General	p. 16
Question/ Comment	The construction of the Mochovce NPP units 3&4 is continuing however a delay of more than 13 months is recognised and there are several causes for the delay, for example the underestimation of the complexity of the project. Please explain what is meant by "underestimation of the complexity of the project".		
Answer	Mochovce NPP units 3&4 are not fall within the scope of the CNS. Despite of this Slovakia provided basic information on the status of the project. As regards the project, reference is made to chapter 2.3.2.2 of the National Report which describes the complexity of safety improvements implemented at the power plant. The implementation of these measures was and is a challenge to the project management and the regulator as well.		
Q.No 28	Country Germany	Article Article 14.1	Ref. in National Report section (4.5.2), p. 76-77
Question/ Comment	To supplement Section 4.5.2, please provide the differences in the estimated CDF values between the first PSA study and the latest update of PSA Level 1 for the operating NPPs?		
Answer	tor the operating NPPs? The first PSA study of the V2 plant was prepared for level 1 full power PSA of the unit 3. It has been completed in a RELKO and VUJE co-operation in 1994. The PSA study was subject of international peer review organized by the IAEA. Then, RELKO updated the study in 1995 and CDF value was 4.60E-4/y for full power operation (Level 1 PSA study for unit 3 Bohunice V2 NPP, Main report, RELKO report 1R0195, September 1995). CDF value was reduced based on many factors mentioned in Section 4.5.2 of the PSA part. Major changes in PSA studies were implementation of symptom- based emergency operating procedures in 1999 and the modernization during the time period 2002-2008. The last PSA study for NPP Bohunice V-2 was completed in 2012. CDF value was 4.06E-6/y for full power operation and for low power and shutdown modes was CDF value 6.15E-6/y (Level 1 PSA study for unit 3 Bohunice V2 NPP, Main report, RELKO report 1R0111, 2R0111, September 2012).		



	D) Why will the necessity of filtered venting be analysed until 2015 (page 82), whereas SAM hardware modification is being performed earlier?				
Answer	A) Measures which hav with severe accident	ve been implemer s and the perform	nted (or will be implem ance dates for both site	nented) to cope	
	Title of subproject SAM	EBO3,4	EMO1,2		
	Reactor Cavity Flooding	2010	2012		
	Primary circuit Depressurization	2012	2015		
	Containment Hydrogen Management	2012	2013		
	Containment Vacuum Breaker	2012	2015		
	Alternative Coolant System	2013	2015		
	Alternative Power Supply System	2013	2015		
	I & C – PAMS, Control	2013	2015		
	Containment Long Term Heat Removal	2013	2015		
	SAMG Implementation	2013	2015		
	<ul> <li>B) ÚJD SR has made legislative provisions, according which the operator is obliged to and has responsibility for arrangement for the fact, that SAMGs have to be verified and validated in the form in which they will be used, to ensure that they are administratively and technically correct for the plant and are compatible with the environment in which they will be used. Also ÚJD SR has been evaluating the SAM project and its implementation against requirements of IAEA and WENRA and has been performing the specific inspections on site, dedicated for this area. Besides all these, independent analyses focusing on assessment of the SAM has been performed and planned.</li> <li>C) International cooperation plays a very important role in SAM related activities. The effort started by the complex analytical project PHARE 4.2.7 a Beyond Design Basis Accident Analysis and Accident Management, implemented in 1996-1998. Main objectives of this project were analyses of VVER 440/213 type NPP response, identification of</li> </ul>				

review of applicability for V213 containments the basic strategies identified for Western containment types. This project was followed by two other projects – PHARE 2.06 Analysis of the Need and of Alternatives for Filtered Venting of Containments and PHARE 2.07 Hydrogen Control during Severe Accidents, which were finished in 1999. These three projects jointly performed by Westinghouse and research institutes from Slovakia, Czech Republic and Hungary represent a comprehensive study

	<ul> <li>of vulnerability of V213 units in severe accident conditions and a preparatory phase for implementation of Accident Management in severe accidents initiated by internal events. SAMG were developed in cooperation with Westinghouse Electric Belgium, in the common EBO3,4 and EMO1,2 project during the period from 2002 to 2004. Unlike similar projects in Western NPPs it was decided to mitigate or eliminate all identified containment vulnerability mechanisms by suitable modifications or extensions of V213 basic design. Proposals of such key modifications have been prepared in several stages and several analytical projects were implemented for verification of feasibility and of efficiency of developed strategies. The project for implementation of modifications needed for severe accident management was proposed in compliance with updated requirements of Slovak legislation in 2006 - 2008. The modifications were reflected in the measures resulting from periodic safety assessments of EBO3,4 and EMO1,2. During this implementation phase international cooperation continues in various forms and at various levels, especially by consultation with other operators of WWER-440/V213 and research institutes in Hungary and Czech Republic, as well as by changing experience with partners and international experts of IAEA, OECD/NEA, EUR, AREVA, WENRA, GRS, etc.</li> <li>D) As explained above in the response to question C), SAM related activities in Slovakia started in 90-ties. Among various activities and analyses, also 2 projects - PHARE 2.06 Analysis of the Need and of Alternatives for Filtered Venting of Containments and PHARE 2.07 Hydrogen Control during Severe Accidents, were finished in 1999. These projects jointly performed by Westinghouse and research institutes from Slovakia, Czech Republic and Hungary represent a comprehensive study of vulnerability of V213 units in severe accident conditions. Filtered venting was not included in the planned modifications at that time.</li> </ul>
	By the end of 2015 (i.e. by the deadline for SAM project implementation) a necessity of filtered venting of the containment and/or other potential technical measures for long-term heat removal from the containment and reduction of radiation load of the environment will be analysed, considering measures already implemented within the SAM project and taking into account activities in this area by other operators of WWER-440/V213.
Q.No 37	CountryArticleRef. in National ReportGermanyArticle 14.2section 4.5.3, p. 17, 23, 78
Question/ Comment	Referring to the OSART follow-up mission in 2012, it is mentioned that 9 identified in 2010 issues have been resolved and in 10 issues satisfactory progress has been achieved. Please cite some examples concerning the recommendations/suggestions for improvement made by the IAEA OSART mission in 2010?
Answer	This is an overview of actions:

	<image/> <image/> A subsystematic and constructions and any other and and any other any other and any other any othe	ARTERU 100  Control rescuences and a control rescuence of the control	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	
Q.No	Country Ar	ticle	Ref. in National Rep	ort
38	Germany	ticle 14.2	section 5.3.5.3, p. 14	45
Answar	Please, give some details at V-2 in 2012, which was rated	oout an operational ev as INES 1.	vent occurred at NPP	Bohunice
AllSwei	Category of event as per the Act No. 541/2004 Coll.	Event	INES 1	1
	Title of event	A failure to meet requi condition during the so concentration in the sp	rements for check of lin cheduled reduction of b pent fuel pool.	nit ooronacid
	Number of event	005_2012 EBO3 P		
	Date of event	17.7.2012 20:50		
	On 17 July 2012, a shift sup higher concentration in the reduce the concentration in r the $H_3BO_3$ concentration in t manipulation procedure for t introduction of pure condens afternoon shift, a reactor op wrote a manipulation proce 05:35 p.m. to 07:18 p.m., the this procedure. Thanks to the 1 reduced from 20.9 m to 19 pool and the shaft No 1 with	pervisor informed a c pool and in the sha mentioned volumes. T the Unit 3 pool to 13. this operation with an sate into the primary perator calculated the dure for removal of e pool and the shaft N is manipulation, level .58 m, i.e. by app. 1.3 in pure condensate sta	themistry unit represent ft No 1 and recommon The task was defined 5 – 14.0 g/kg and to a emphasis put on ex- circuit. On 17 July 2 e required change of required manual value No 1 were drained act in the pool and in the 3 m. At 07:50 p.m., fi arted. The filling end	entative of nended to to reduce prepare a kclusion of 012 in the level and ves. From cording to e shaft No lling of the ed at 20.7

m on 18 July 2012 at 00:40 a.m. The pool was made up with the flow rate of app. 10 m<sup>3</sup>/hour with pure condensate. After mixing with a pump, coolant in the pool was sampled at 5 o'clock in the morning and the sample was evaluated. The concentration value was 12.8 g/kg. To confirm this data, another sample was taken and evaluated at 05:15 a.m.; the result was the same. When this fact was found out, the shaft No 1 was connected at 06:30 a.m. to be mixed too. At 07:30 a.m., the pool was sampled; the sample showed the H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> concentration 13.1 g/kg. This result was confirmed by another sample taken at 07:46 a.m. After the sample evaluation at 07:30 a.m., the shaft No 1 was disconnected from mixing. At 09:50 a.m., another sample was taken, where the  $H_3BO_3$ concentration 13.1 g/kg was found out. Based on this, the shaft No 1 was reconnected for mixing at 10:40 a.m. However, the sample taken from the pool at 11:30 a.m. showed the  $H_3BO_3$  concentration 13.1 g/kg. Therefore about 5 m<sup>3</sup> of  $H_3BO_3$  with the concentration 41.3 g/kg was added to the pool from 12:20 p.m. to 01:30 p.m. which increased level in the pool and in the shaft No 1 to 21.07 m. The sample taken from the pool at 01:35 a.m. showed the H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> concentration 13.3 g/kg. The minimal operating value of the  $H_3BO_3$  concentration – 13.5 g/kg was achieved in the taken sample at 02:32 p.m. and confirmed by the sample at 04:20 p.m. Mixing of the shaft No 1 with the pool finished at 04:50 p.m. When the requirements for check of the H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> concentration during the spent fuel pool make-up were not fulfilled, the Technical Specification was breached.

## HUNGARY

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Hungary in 2013

Q.No 13	Country Hungary	Article Article 8.1	Ref. in National Report Chapter 1.3, p. 18,
Question/ Comment	Can you please provide some more details about the causes of the delay? What conclusions did you make on them?		
Answer	The extension of the stage of MO3,4 construction was induced by various factors related to requirements for enhancing nuclear safety in connection with stress tests, especially by the process of mounting anchoring elements (plates, anchors) necessary for meeting requirements of the design resistance to seismic events.		
Q.No 14	Country Hungary	Article Article 8.1	Ref. in National Report Chapter 3.1.3.4, p. 47
Question/ Comment	Can you please during the prepara	describe the mo ation for the missio	ost important experiences gained n?
Answer	The main purpose of self-assessment and IRRS mission in Slovakia was to examine the national regulatory infrastructure, resources activities and outputs of ÚJD SR activities regarding to the IAEA requirements, reveal weaknesses and highlight the strengths of the scope and organization of the ÚJD SR. An important aspect of self- assessment and IRRS mission was independent (international) verification of conditions, activities, ÚJD SR outputs and discussion problems in the supervisory activities of the ÚJD SR and methods for their possible removal.		
	Performance of self-assessment, preparation of recommended documentation and mission conductance requires considerable resources (human and financial), effort and time. The work was done in parallel with everyday regulatory activities.		
	The results of self-assessment and IRRS mission depend on the professionalism and qualification of experts involved. They should be carefully selected.		
	Performance of implementation of increase of ÚJD S and meeting the complied with the recommendations and ÚJD SR inter the achievement Republic.	the self-asse the Action Plan f R effectiveness, l legitimate needs he relevant prov of EU Council Di nal normative acts of the National	essment, IRRS mission and or improvements contributed to an ÚJD SR credibility, service delivery and demands of stakeholders. It visions of the Atomic Act, the irective 2009/71 EURATOM, IAEA . At the same time it contributed to Quality Program of the Slovak

### PAKISTAN

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Pakistan in 2013

Q.No Country 21 Pakistan		Article Article 12	Ref. in National Report Section 4.3.3, p. 66				
Questio n/ Comme nt	In this section, methods of detecting and correcting human errors are described which includes process of incident investigation through, quick analysis of events, HPES (Human Performance Enhancement System), TapRoot etc. Slovak Republic may like to elaborate how effectiveness of these methods in terms of human error reduction is assessed?						
Answer	In SE, plc. there Improvement" cov • Human perf • Observatior • Event – free • Prompt ana • Human fact • Human fact • Information The investigation levels: • Committee of all • Report on Human • Report on Opera • Quick analysis of The methods of impact of human started to indicate occurrences. On the other han effective correctiv which is proved by Contributions of human	there is the directive JE/SM-135 "Human Performance an performance improvement program ervation and couching it – free clock reset ipt analysis of events with human factor an factor report events report an factor report for manager mation of all staff of plant gation of human performance is carried out at following e of all events – monthly Human Performance –quarterly Operation and Safety Indicators –quarterly lysis of human events are submitted to the plant director. ds of human errors analyses allowed us to improve the human errors on event initiation. Therefore the statistic ndicate the increased contribution of human errors to events. er hand, this better identification allowed us to take more prective actions in the area of human errors prevention					
	Contributions of numan error to significant events generation in EBO						



### **REPUBLIC OF KOREA**

Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Republic of Korea in 2013

Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report				
20	Korea, Republic of	Article 12	p. 69				
Question/ Comment	It is described in Article 4.3.3 that "To improve the safety culture the operators develop and evaluate action plans for safety culture on a yearly basis. Safety culture indicators are defined to evaluate it." Please describe details on safety culture indicators and how safety culture is evaluated.						
Answer	<ul> <li>Safety culture is evaluated outcomes as possible. Sayearly) are some of the performed using surveys, years). Action plans settin culture are defined based 15 safety culture indicator 1. Number of condition prevention tool) application for prevention tool) application of a prevention tools - 2. Number of appraisals of Purpose: To support a expected behaviour</li> <li>Condition reports proding and observations indicate the supervision over safet purpose: To reinforce workplaces and the result of the supervision over safet purpose: To reinforce by supervising safety-i</li> <li>Management's particip Purpose: To reinforce senior managers in training and safety culture and safety cultu</li></ul>	d by means of s fety culture indi- em. An indeper observations a g measures for on the assessm s are used: n reports in ation employees res- nent of anticipat - EPT) for safe behavio application of m uced by manag e visible leaders esponsibility for the senior mana y-important tests involvement of mportant tests a pation in training personnel's ex- ining gs with personn te to confidence by communic g to the failure	several tools to get as reliable cators (monthly, quarterly and indent evaluation is regularly and interviews (ones per two further improvement of safety nent report. shortcomings in EPT(error sponsibility for shortcomings ted standards (use of human our anagerial tools for reinforcing ement from inspection rounds ship of plant management at safety. Effective rounds and agers' commitment to safety s by management f plant management in safety and works pected behaviour by involving nel ce-building and reinforcing of cating important managerial to commence works or owing				
	<ul> <li>a support application of the conservative approach in case of ambiguities</li> <li>8. Operational events related to reactivity management, heat removal, defence in-depth or physical barriers</li> <li>Purpose: To provide support to work activities that may influence</li> </ul>						

the reactivity management, heat removal, defence in-depth or barriers for retention of radioactive materials to be performed in a consistent manner in accordance with regulations, with better care
<ul> <li>and precaution</li> <li>9. Effectiveness of personnel's examinations</li> <li>Purpose: To enhance the knowledge of employees regarding</li> <li>and power plant facilities and thus to create a firm basic</li> </ul>
for reliable decisions and conduct 10. Operational events caused by inadequate preparation of works Purpose: To reduce the risk of occurrence of operational events
caused by insufficient preparation of works 11. Analyses of precursors' root causes Purpose: To reduce the risk of occurrence of operational events by due attention paid to precursors
12. Enhancement of process efficiency based on measures resulting from self-assessment and benchmarking Purpose: To cultivate the need of constant efficiency improvement
and to prevent satisfaction 13. Duration of event analysis and implementation of corrective measures Purpose: To reinforce employees confidence that nuclear safety
<ul> <li>14. Performance of self-assessments and independent assessments</li> <li>Purpose: To ensure the balance in assessment of the nuclear safety level</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Measures to enhance SC based on SC self-assessment</li> <li>Purpose: To enhance safety culture by its effective periodic assessment</li> </ol>

### UKRAINE

#### Convention on Nuclear Safety Questions Posted To Slovakia By Ukraine in 2013

Q.No	Country	Article	4	Ref. in National Report				
30	Ukraine	Article 14.	1	para 4.5.2, p. 78				
Question/ Comment	One of the WENRA recommendations on the periodic safety review for power units of older design is to apply provisions of the "Safety Objectives for New Nuclear Power Plants". Has the Slovak Republic ever applied the provisions of this document when conducting the periodic safety review for its NPP?							
Answer	This document was issued after conducting of PSR in NPP's so it could not be applied during the last PSRs. Nevertheless the legislation commits the licence holder to apply the newest knowledge from nuclear safety.							
Q.No 31	Country Ukraine	Article Article 14.	1	Ref. in National Report para 4.5.3, p. 95				
Question/ Comment	Were SAMG revis is the currently acc	ed to take cepted sco	account pe of SAN	of the stress tests results? What IG?				
Answei	cope with severe are:	accidents	and the p	performance dates for both sites				
	Title of subproject SAM	EBO3,4	EMO1,2					
	Reactor Cavity Flooding	2010	2012					
	Primary circuit Depressurization	2012	2015					
	Containment Hydrogen Management	2012	2013					
	Containment Vacuum Breaker	2012	2015					
	Alternative Coolant System	2013	2015					
	Alternative Power Supply System	2013	2015					
	I & C – PAMS, Control	2013	2015					
	Containment Long Term Heat Removal	2013	2015					
	SAMG Implementation	2013	2015					

	The SAMG have to installed in the fra will take into acco the National Actior	been revised during me of SAM project. unt HW modificatior n plan after Fukushin	2013 to include all modifications The planned revision of SAMG is to be installed in the frame of na.			
	SAM project, aiming at eliminating all identified containment vulnerability mechanisms and being currently implemented in NPP Bohunice and Mochovce, is based on originally defined scope with assumptions for occurrence of a severe accident on only one of two units. Further SAMG improvement and preparation of additional supporting documents for decision making by SAMG and main control room teams will be adopted based on results of validation at the project completion. Based on the lessons learnt from Fukushima, the SAM project will be analysed from the view point of severe accident management at all units at the same site (fuel situated in the reactor core and in the spent fuel pool) and if needed, the SAM project will be modified so, that sufficient measures can be implemented. A plan of implementation of additional measures for extension of the SAM project to improve the severe accident manageability at its simultaneous occurrence in all units at the same site will be prepared by the end of 2015.					
Q.No	Country	Article	Ref. in National Report			
Question/ Comment	Do you develop t NPP? How many the ageing manag to be included in a	he ageing manager units of equipment ement program? Ho geing management	ment programs for each unit of of the power plant is included in w do you choose the equipment program?			
Answer	SE plc. has implemented a generic ageing management programs for all four units at EBO and EMO NPPs (not separately for each unit). Following criteria were used in the selection of equipment: ÚJD SR requirements, WENRA requirements, experience from operation, relation to safety function, relation to long-term operation, equipment qualification, results of research projects and IGALL experiences.					
Q.No 40	Country Ukraine	Article Article 15	Ref. in National Report para 4.6.2, p. 106			
Question/ Comment	It is stated in the report that three reference levels are established for monitoring the environmental impact of NPP: recording, investigation and intervention. What is the procedure for their establishing and how often should they be revised.					
Answer	As it is mentioned in the National Report there are requirements on the operators for monitoring in the Regulation of the SR Government No. 545/2007. There is also paragraph concerning recording, investigation and intervention levels. Data from the monitoring are recorded (recorded values) in order to					

	document types a	nd quantities of the	radionucl	ides disch	arged, for the		
	purpose of demonstrating that radiation doses and the annual						
	discharges comply with the appropriate authorization. Recording levels are all measured and analysed values.						
	According to the a	authorization issued	by the - F	Public Hea	Ith Authority -		
	for EBO and El	MO NPPs quidanc	e <sup>´</sup> values	were es	tablished for		
	investigation leve	els (given in Ba/da	v or Ba	/dm <sup>3</sup> respectively) and			
	intervention levels	: (aiven in Ba/day or	r Ba/dm <sup>3</sup>	respective	lv) as well as		
	activities and perf	ormance in case of t	their exce	eding The	a last revision		
	of investigation an	d intervention levels	was carr	ied out in (	2011		
	Tobloc of invoction	ation and record love	was can				
					UNFES.		
	LIVIO I, Z	activity of exhausts					
	Noble gases (any combin	ation)		1,1.10 <sup>13</sup>	Bq/day		
	Iodine (gaseous and aero	osol phase)		1,8.10 <sup>8</sup>	Bq/day		
	Aerosols – mixture of lon	g-lived radionuclides	arged in wast	0,5.10°	Bq/day		
	Tritium		argea in wast	3,0.10 <sup>7</sup>	[Bq/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
	Other corrosion and fission	on products (except tritium)		4,10 <sup>4</sup>	[Bq/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
	Intervention levels for t	he activity of exhausts					
	Inoble gases (any combined to the second sec	ation) osol phase)		5,5.10 9.0.10 <sup>8</sup>	Bq/day Bg/day		
	Aerosols – mixture of lon	g-lived radionuclides		2,5.10 <sup>9</sup>	Bq/day		
	Intervention levels for a	ctivity of substances discha	rged in waste		ID ( 3)		
	I ritium Other corrosion and fission	on products (except tritium)		$1,0.10^{\circ}$	[Bq/m <sup>°</sup> ] [Bq/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
				4,0.10			
	FBO3.4						
	Investigation levels for	activity of exhausts					
	Noble gases (any combin	ation)		1,6.10 <sup>12</sup>	Bq/day		
	Iodine (gaseous and aero	osol phase)		5,3.10'	Bq/day		
	Investigation levels for	activity of substances discha	arged in wast	ewater	Бф/аау		
	Tritium		0	6,5.10	[Bq/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
	Other corrosion and fission	on products (except tritium)		3,7.10 <sup>⁴</sup>	[Bq/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
	hat a man the second stands						
	Noble gases (any combin	ne activity of exhausts		2 7 10 <sup>13</sup>	Bo/day		
	Iodine (gaseous and aero	psol phase)		8,9.10 <sup>8</sup>	Bq/day		
	Aerosols - mixture of lon	g-lived radionuclides		1,1.10 <sup>9</sup>	Bq/day		
	Intervention levels for a	ctivity of substances discha	rged in waste	water	[Ba/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
	Other corrosion and fissio	on products (except tritium)		3,7.10 <sup>4</sup>	[Bq/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
O No	Country	Article	Ref in N	lational Re	port		
A1	Likraine	Article 15	nara 16	2 n 106	pon		
		para 4.0	. <u>2, p. 100</u>				
Question/	Do you have m	onitoring the Iritiur	n and C	arbon-14	in the liquid		
Comment	discharges? If so	then how?					
Answer	As explained in	the National Repo	ort the F	Public Hea	alth Authority		
	authorizes the	discharge of rad	ioactive	substance	es into the		
	environment from nuclear installations The values of discharges from						
	In the outborizations of the Dublic Lealth Authority for EDO and EMO						
	NPPs in the case of liquid discharges there is obligation for operator to						
	monitor the value	of tritium but no for c	arbon-14	• • • • •			
	⊢or purpose of th	e tritium in liquid rad	dioactive	laboratory	analyses are		

	used.	These	are	carried	out	using	а	liquid	scintillation	spectrometer
	after t	he sam	pling	and sai	mple	proce	SS	ing.		